

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF BRONX

-----X
LILLIAN LEBRON,

Plaintiff

Index No.

Purchased _____

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, P.O. IRAN LOPEZ MACEDA
OF THE 43RD NYPD PRECINCT, SHIELD # 04012 AND
P.O. MACEDA'S PARTNER ON 10/20/10 UNDER
ARREST#B10685529 S/H/A JOHN/JANE DOE,

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

Defendants
-----X

LILLIAN LEBRON, by his attorneys, PAPA, DEPAOLA AND BROUNSTEIN,

respectfully alleges as follows:

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

1. At all times mentioned, Plaintiff LILLIAN LEBRON was a resident of Bronx County, City and State of New York.
2. At all times mentioned, Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK was and is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
3. On or about the 5th day of January, 2011 and within ninety (90) days after the claim herein arose, the Plaintiff served a Notice of Claim in writing sworn to on their behalf upon the Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK, by delivering a copy thereof in duplicate to the officer designated to receive such process personally, which Notice of Claim advised the Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK, of the nature, place, time and manner in which the claim arose, the items of damage and injuries sustained so far as was then determinable.
4. At least thirty (30) days have elapsed since the service of the claim prior to

RECEIVED
1 MAY - 2 PM 2:23
COUNTY CLERK
BRONX COUNTY

the commencement of this action and adjustment of payment thereof has been neglected or refused, and this action has been commenced within one year and ninety (90) days after the happening of the event upon which the claims are based.

5. The Plaintiff has complied with the request of the municipal Defendant's for an oral examination pursuant to Section 50-H of the General Municipal Law and/or the Public Authorities Law and/or no such request was made within the applicable period.
6. Upon information and belief, at all times mentioned, Defendants, P.O. **IRAN LOPEZ MACEDA OF THE 43RD NYPD PRECINCT, SHIELD # 04012 AND P.O. MACEDA'S PARTNER ON 10/20/10 UNDER ARREST#B10685529 S/H/A JOHN/JANE DOE** were and are police officers of the Defendant City of New York, and at all times herein were acting in such capacity as the agents, servants and employees of the Defendant, **THE CITY OF NEW YORK**.
7. On or about October 20, 2010 at approximately 12:14 AM in the vicinity of the intersection of the Bruckner Expressway and Leland Avenue, County of the Bronx, State of New York, the Defendants jointly and severally in their capacity as police officers, wrongfully touched, grabbed, handcuffed and seized the Plaintiff **LILLIAN LEBRON**, in an excessive manner about her person, causing her physical pain and mental suffering. At no time did the Defendants have legal cause to grab, handcuff seize or touch the Plaintiff, nor did the Plaintiff consent to this illegal touching nor was it privileged by law.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

8. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and re-alleges all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs "1" through "7" with full force and effect as though set forth at length herein.
9. On or about October 20, 2010 at approximately 12:14 AM in the vicinity of the intersection of the Bruckner Expressway and Leland Avenue, County of the Bronx, State of New York the Defendants, jointly and severally did place Plaintiff **LILLIAN LEBRON** in imminent fear of physical contact by approaching the Plaintiff with their loaded firearms, outstretched limbs and other objects which they used to physically seize, strike and restrain the Plaintiff. All of the above actions placed the Plaintiff in imminent fear of physical contact. At no time did the Plaintiff consent to the unlawful actions of the Defendants.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

10. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and re-alleges all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs "1" through "9" with full force and effect as though set forth at length herein.
11. On or about October 20, 2010 at approximately 12:14 AM in the vicinity of the intersection of the Bruckner Expressway and Leland Avenue, County of the Bronx, State of New York the Defendants, jointly and severally without any warrant, order or other legal process and without any legal right, wrongfully and unlawfully arrested the Plaintiff, restrained her and her liberty and then took her into custody to a police station in the County of the

Bronx and there charged him with the crimes on Arrest#B10685529. The Plaintiff was thereafter held in custody over the course of two (2) days before she was released on her own recognizance after arraignment. The Defendants intentionally confined the Plaintiff without her consent and the confinement was not otherwise privileged by law and, at all times, the Plaintiff was conscious of her confinement.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

12. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and re-alleges all of the allegations contained in paragraphs "1" through "11" as it set forth at length herein.
13. Defendants **P.O. IRAN LOPEZ MACEDA OF THE 43RD NYPD PRECINCT, SHIELD # 04012 AND P.O. MACEDA'S PARTNER ON 10/20/10 UNDER ARREST#B10685529 S/H/A JOHN/JANE DOE** were at all times relevant, duly appointed and acting officers of the City of New York Police Department.
14. At all times mentioned herein, said police officer was acting under color of law, to wit: the statutes, ordinances, regulations, policies and customs and usage of the State of New York and/or City of New York.
15. Plaintiff **LILLIAN LEBRON** is and at all times relevant herein, a citizen of the United States and a resident of Bronx County in the State of New York and brings this cause of action pursuant to 42 United States Code, Section 1983 and 42 United States Code, Section 1988.
16. The Defendant **CITY OF NEW YORK** is a municipality duly incorporated under the laws of the State of New York.

17. On or about October 20, 2010 the Defendants, armed police, while effectuating the seizure of the Plaintiff **LILLIAN LEBRON**, did search, seize, assault and commit a battery and grab the person of the Plaintiff without a court authorized arrest or search warrant. They did physically seize the person of the Plaintiff during the arrest process in an unlawful and excessive manner. The Plaintiff was falsely arrested without the Defendants possessing probable cause to do so. Further, the plaintiff was subjected to a warrantless strip search with cavity inspection even though the defendants did not possess a reasonable and/or probable cause to believe that the plaintiff had secreted contraband in or on her person.
18. The above action of the Defendants resulted in the Plaintiff being deprived of the following rights under the United States Constitution:
 - a. Freedom from assault to her person;
 - b. Freedom from battery to her person;
 - c. Freedom from illegal search and seizure;
 - d. Freedom from false arrest;
 - e. Freedom from the use of excessive force during the arrest process.
19. The Defendants subjected the Plaintiff to such deprivations, either in a malicious or reckless disregard of the Plaintiff's rights or with deliberate indifference to those rights used the fourth and fourteenth amendments of the United States Constitution.
20. The direct and proximate result of the Defendants' acts are that the Plaintiff has suffered severe and permanent injuries of a psychological nature. She was forced to endure pain and suffering, all to her detriment.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

21. Plaintiff incorporates, repeats and re-alleges all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs "1" through "20" with full force and effect as though set forth at length herein.
22. Defendant **CITY OF NEW YORK** has grossly failed to train and adequately supervise its police officers in the fundamental law of arrest, search and seizure especially when its police officers are not in possession of a court authorized arrest warrant and where an individual, especially as here, has not committed a crime and has not resisted arrest, that its police officers should only use reasonable force to effectuate an arrest and the arrest should be based on probable cause.
23. The City of New York was negligent by failing to implement a policy with its Police Department and instruct police officers who, absent the consent of the Plaintiff (or similarly situated individuals) or without the possession of a court authorized arrest a search warrant, said police officers of the City of New York are not to arrest individuals such as the Plaintiff here where probable cause is lacking and the use of force should only be reasonable when an individual resists arrest and should not be used where a criminal defendant is not resisting arrest.
24. **THE CITY OF NEW YORK** is negligent due to its failure to implement a policy with its Police Department or actively enforce the law, if any of the following are lacking:
 1. Probable cause must be present before an individual such as the Plaintiff herein can be arrested.

2. Excessive force cannot be used against an individual who does not physically resist arrest.
 3. An individual such as the plaintiff herein cannot be subjected to a strip search with cavity inspection unless the police possess legal cause and/or have a reasonable suspicion and/or probable cause that the plaintiff has secreted contraband in or on her person.
25. The foregoing acts, omissions and systemic failures are customs and policies of the **CITY OF NEW YORK** which caused the police officers to falsely arrest, maliciously prosecute, seize illegally and search the Plaintiff commit an assault/battery to his person and denied her prompt medical attention under the belief that they would suffer no disciplinary actions for their failure to take proper or prudent steps in this case.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

26. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and re-alleges all of the allegations contained in paragraphs "1" through "25" as it set forth at length herein.
27. Defendant City of New York was negligent in that prior to and at the time of the acts complained of herein, due to the prior history of the Police Officer Defendants, knew or should have known of the bad disposition of said Defendants or had knowledge of facts that would put a reasonably prudent employer on inquiry concerning their bad disposition and the fact that these officers were not suitable to be hired and employed by the CITY OF NEW YORK and that due to their lack of training, these officers should have had adequate supervision so that they would not arrest innocent individuals nor

use excessive force during the arrest process.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants, together with the costs and disbursements of this action in the amount of damages greater than the jurisdictional limit of any lower court where otherwise have jurisdiction, together with attorneys' fees and costs for bringing this case and punitive damages.

Dated: Bayside, New York
April 25, 2011

Yours, etc.


PAPA, DEPAOLA AND BROUNSTEIN

BY: JOHN R. DEPAOLA

Attorneys for Plaintiff

42-40 Bell Boulevard Suite 500

Bayside, New York 11361

(718) 281-4000

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF BRONX

-----X
LILLIAN LEBRON,

Plaintiff

Index No.
Purchased:

-against-

VERIFICATION

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, P.O. IRAN LOPEZ MACEDA
OF THE 43RD NYPD PRECINCT, SHIELD # 04012 AND
P.O. MACEDA'S PARTNER ON 10/20/10 UNDER
ARREST#B10685529 S/H/A JOHN/JANE DOE,
Defendants

-----X

I, JOHN R. DEPAOLA, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State, state that I am a member of the firm of PAPA, DEPAOLA AND BROUNSTEIN, the attorneys of record for Plaintiffs in the within action; I have read the foregoing and know the contents thereof; the same is true to my own knowledge, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe it to be true. The reason this verification is made by me and not by Plaintiff is because Plaintiff resides outside the county where deponent maintains his office.

I affirm that the foregoing statements are true, under the penalties of perjury.

Dated: Bayside, New York
April 25, 2011



JOHN R. DEPAOLA